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ABSTRACT

Background and Aim: Despite the advances in maxillofacial surgery, impaired bone healing remains a concern for surgical teams. Effects of sildenafil and pentoxifylline on healing of bone fractures have not been well investigated. This study aimed to assess the effects of sildenafil and pentoxifylline phosphodiesterase inhibitors on healing of mandibular fractures in rats.

Materials and Methods: In this animal study, 48 Wistar rats were randomly divided into six groups (n=8). Mandibular fracture was induced in all rats. After the surgical procedure, C2 group (control, 2 weeks) received saline, S2 group (sildenafil, 2 weeks) received 10 mg/kg sildenafil, and P2 group (pentoxifylline, 2 weeks) received 50 mg/kg pentoxifylline. The rats were sacrificed after 2 weeks. C6 (control, 6 weeks), S6 (sildenafil, 6 weeks), and P6 (pentoxifylline, 6 weeks) groups received pharmaceutical therapy as in C2, S2, and P2 but were sacrificed after 6 weeks. The samples then underwent histological analysis. Data were analyzed using SPSS 22 via one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s post-hoc test.

Results: The mean rate of healing of mandibular fractures in S2 and P2 was significantly higher than that in C2 after 2 weeks (P<0.001). The mean rate of healing of fractures in P2 was higher than that in S2 after 2 weeks (P=0.04). The mean rate of healing of fractures in S6 (P=0.001) and P6 (P=0.004) was significantly higher than that in C6 after 6 weeks but no significant difference was noted between P6 and S6 in this respect (P=0.53).

Conclusion: Sildenafil and pentoxifylline can be used as adjuncts to enhance bone healing.
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Introduction:

Successful management of maxillofacial fractures depends on correct reduction and precise fixation of broken segments to achieve a normal occlusion, resume function, and properly align the broken pieces next to each other.1 Despite the advances in maxillofacial surgery, impaired bone healing remains a concern for surgical teams.2 Mandibular fractures are among the most common fractures of the maxillofacial region accounting for 23% to 97% of all facial fractures.3,4 Bone healing is a complex process that includes three stages of inflammation, repair, and delayed remodeling. This biological process is controlled by complex cellular and molecular mechanisms.
Systemic and local factors and several cell types and growth factors delivered via the adjacent tissues and bloodstream play a role in bone healing.\(^{(5-7)}\) Several studies have evaluated growth factors, injection of medications, and electrical stimulation to accelerate and enhance bone healing.\(^{(8-10)}\) In contrast, several studies have indicated that some medications, such as antibiotics and bisphosphonates, delay or impair the process of bone healing. By having comprehensive knowledge about these factors and not prescribing them in case of fracture, complete bone healing can be expected.\(^{(11,12)}\) Bleeding at the site of injury is the most important factor for successful bone healing.\(^{(13)}\) Decreased angiogenesis at the site of trauma and limited blood supply to the site are known as factors delaying or impairing the process of bone healing.\(^{(14,15)}\) Nitric oxide and vasodilation are imperative for angiogenesis. Positive effects of nitric oxide on wound healing are probably due to its functional effects on angiogenesis and inflammation.\(^{(16-18)}\)

Sildenafil is a selective phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor and a potent stimulator of angiogenesis, which prevents the degradation of nitric oxide. Phosphodiesterase-5 results in the degradation of cyclic guanosine monophosphate, which relaxes the smooth muscles.\(^{(19)}\) Sildenafil is a vasodilator of the peripheral arteries and veins and prevents the formation of thrombosis.\(^{(20,21)}\) Also, it is the most commonly prescribed medication for males with erectile dysfunction.\(^{(22)}\)

Pentoxifylline is a non-selective phosphodiesterase inhibitor that decreases inflammation and increases the blood flow and oxygenation of tissues.\(^{(23,24)}\) Also, pentoxifylline decreases platelet accumulation and formation of thrombosis.\(^{(25)}\) Compared to other medications in this class, pentoxifylline has fewer gastrointestinal side effects at a lower cost.\(^{(26,27)}\)

Recent studies have shown that sildenafil affects growth factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and cysteine-rich-61, thereby enhancing bone healing.\(^{(14,28,29)}\) Histing et al reported that sildenafil enhances bone healing by increasing bone formation.\(^{(19)}\) Kinoshita et al showed that daily injections of pentoxifylline stimulate bone formation and increase bone mass in rats.\(^{(30)}\) Labib et al indicated that pentoxifylline administration can be considered as a reliable approach to manage osseointegration.\(^{(31)}\) Moreover, several studies have confirmed the positive effect of pentoxifylline for healing of osteoradionecrosis of the mandible.\(^{(32,33)}\) Furthermore, pentoxifylline is extensively used in orthopedics to maintain the viability of the grafts and other vascular tissues used for regenerative treatments.\(^{(34)}\)

Many studies have assessed the effects of sildenafil and pentoxifylline on wound healing; however, their efficacy for bone healing has not been well investigated. Moreover, no previous study has evaluated the efficacy of sildenafil and pentoxifylline to enhance the healing of maxillofacial fractures. Considering the gap of information in this regard, this study aimed to assess the effect of sildenafil and pentoxifylline on healing of mandibular fractures in rats.

**Materials and Methods:**

The ethics committee of Isfahan Islamic Azad University (IR.IAU.KHUISF.REC.1398.188) has approved the study protocol. All rats received standard laboratory nutrition and were kept in a calm environment with controlled temperature and moisture (22±2°C and 40-60% humidity) and 12:12 h light/dark cycle as instructed by Welfare.\(^{(35)}\) Forty-eight male 12-14-week-old Albino Wistar rats weighing 300-360 gr were evaluated. They did not have any infection or pathological condition affecting the experiment. The rats were randomly divided into six groups of 8 samples each.

**Surgical phase:**

All rats were generally anesthetized by intramuscular injection of 5 mg/kg of ketamine (Ketamine 10%, Alfasan Co., Woerden, Netherlands) and 0.02 ml/kg of acepromazine maleate (Neurotranq, Alfasan Co., Woerden, Netherlands). Next, 0.3 ml of 2% lidocaine with 1:80,000 epinephrine (Persocaine-E; Daroupakhsh, Tehran, Iran) was injected at the surgical site for local anesthesia and hemostasis. The surgical site was shaved and disinfected (Povidone-iodine, Behvazan Co., Rasht, Iran).
The rats were placed in a supine position and a 1-cm unilateral submandibular incision was made at the inferior border of the mandible under sterile conditions. After dissecting the masseter muscle, the body of the mandible was exposed. Bicortical osteotomy at the angle of the mandible was performed using a 0.5-mm dental fissure bur (Teezkavan Co., Tehran, Iran) under copious irrigation with sterile saline. The distance between the bone segments was 0.5 mm, which was equal to the bur diameter. The fracture line was fixed using a two-hole microplate (Matrix NEURO adaption plate, thickness: 0.4 mm, pure titanium, Synthes, Switzerland) and two screws with a 1.5-mm diameter (Matrix NEURO screw, self-drilling, 1.5 mm diameter, 3 mm length, Synthes, Switzerland). Subcutaneous and cutaneous tissues were sutured using 5-0 Vicryl sutures (Polyglycolate coated, Supa Co., Tehran, Iran) and 6-0 nylon sutures (Monofilament polyamide, Supa Co., Tehran, Iran). The same surgeon performed all surgical procedures. All rats received 1 mg/kg tramadol (Tramadic, 50mg/ml, Caspian Tamin Co., Rasht, Iran) intramuscularly for pain control and 25 mg/kg cefazolin (Ancef; Kefzol, 1gr, Razi, Iran) intramuscularly for infection control twice a day for 5 days. All rats received a soft diet for one week. Figure 1 shows the surgical steps. The rats were sacrificed by the administration of 200 mg/kg sodium pentobarbital (Pental Sodium®, IE Ulagay, Istanbul, Turkey) after two weeks in groups C2, S2, and P2 and after 6 weeks in groups C6, S6, and P6. The respective hemi-mandible was then resected, and the attached soft tissue was removed. The resected hemi-mandibles were sent for histological analysis.

Histological analysis:
A pathologist who was blinded to the group allocation of samples carried out the histological analysis. All specimens were fixed in 10% formalin. After fixing, the specimens were decalcified using ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA). The specimens were then embedded in paraffin blocks and sagittally sectioned into 4μ thick slices. They were then stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). The slides were inspected under a light microscope (Nikon Eclipse E600, Tokyo, Japan). Each specimen was then scored based on the degree of bone healing according to the scoring system suggested by Perry et al, as follows: (11)

- 1 point, only fibrous tissue
- 2 points, mainly fibrous tissue and a small amount of cartilage tissue
- 3 points, an equal amount of fibrous and cartilage tissue
- 4 points, completely cartilage tissue
- 5 points, mainly cartilage tissue and a small amount of immature (woven) bone
- 6 points, an equal amount of cartilage tissue and immature bone
- 7 points, significantly immature (woven) bone and a small amount of cartilage
- 8 points, completely immature (woven) bone
- 9 points, immature bone and a small amount of mature bone
- 10 points, mature (lamellar) bone.

Figure 1. Surgical steps: (I) shaved surgical site, (II) submandibular cutaneous incision, (III) exposure of the masseter muscle, (IV) dissection of the masseter muscle and exposure of the body of the mandible, (V) fracture line, (VI) placement of a microplate, (VII) fixation with screw, (VIII) suturing.
Rats in the C2 group received saline orally on a daily basis after the surgery and were sacrificed after two weeks.

Rats in the S2 group received 10 mg/kg sildenafil (Sildenafil 50 mg, Marham Daru Co., Tehran, Iran) orally via gavage daily and were sacrificed after two weeks.

Rats in the P2 group received 50 mg/kg pentoxifylline (Pentoxifylline 400 mg; Extended Release, Amin Pharmaceutical Co., Isfahan, Iran) orally via gavage daily and were sacrificed after two weeks. The C6 (control, 6 weeks), S6 (sildenafil, 6 weeks) and P6 (pentoxifylline, 6 weeks) groups received medications as in groups C2, S2, and P2 but were sacrificed after six weeks.

The dosage of administered medications was determined according to similar previous studies. Use of higher doses may cause greater vasodilation but may be associated with side effects such as hypotension, decreased tissue perfusion, and severe anti-inflammatory responses. Use of lower doses may have no effect at all.

Statistical analysis:
Data were analyzed using SPSS version 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) via one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s post-hoc test to find significant differences between groups. The mean and standard deviation (SD) of the findings of the histological analysis were reported.

Results
This study evaluated the effects of two phosphodiesterase inhibitors on bone healing in mandibular fractures in rats. Forty-eight rats were evaluated in six groups of eight. None of the rats expired during the study and no complication occurred. All rats well tolerated the surgical procedure. The mean rate of bone healing was 2.8±0.7, 4.8±0.9, and 5.5±0.9 in C2, S2, and P2 groups, respectively (Table 1). One-way ANOVA showed that the mean rate of bone healing was significantly different among the aforementioned three groups after two weeks (P=0.0005). Tukey’s post-hoc test revealed that the mean rate of bone healing in S2 and P2 groups was significantly higher than that in the C2 group after two weeks (P=0.0005). The mean rate of bone healing in the P2 group was significantly higher than that in the S2 group after two weeks (P=0.04).

The mean rate of bone healing was 7.7±1.06, 9.5±1.08 and 9.2±1.03 in groups C6, S6, and P6, respectively (Table 1). One-way ANOVA revealed that the mean rate of bone healing was significantly different among the aforementioned three groups after 6 weeks (P=0.002). Tukey’s test revealed that the mean rate of bone healing in S6 (P=0.001) and P6 (P=0.004) groups was significantly higher than that in the C6 group after 6 weeks but no significant difference was noted between P6 and S6 groups in this respect (P=0.53).

Table 1: Mean and standard deviation (SD) of bone healing in mandibular fractures in the studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD=Standard Deviation, C=Control, S=Sildenafil, P=Pentoxifylline

Figure 2 shows histological images of study groups at x100 magnification.
As shown in Figure 3, bone tissue can be divided into lamellar and woven bone according to its level of maturity. An eosinophilic area containing lacunae was noted in lamellar bone tissue under the microscope (marked by *), and lines confirming periodic calcification of bone could be seen.

Figure 3. Histological image of the P2 group with bone healing score 5 (x100 magnification)

These parts were the old host bone, which were mature and seen on the two sides of the fracture line. The shorter the time duration since fracture, the less mature the tissue around the mature bone margins would be. The size and number of lacunae are also important. In areas marked with * (old bone), the number of lacunae and their size were smaller. The area marked with a circle indicates the newly forming, immature bone. This bone has a cancellous appearance and has differences with mature bone in terms of the number of lacunae (where the osteocytes are present) and their size. The area marked with a square indicates the interface of the newly formed bone and older bone. The area marked with an arrow shows the cartilage tissue. The area marked with x indicates cartilage calcification and its conversion to bone.

Discussion:

This study assessed the effects of sildenafil and pentoxifylline on bone healing in mandibular fractures in rats. Healing of fractures is an important topic in maxillofacial surgery. The routine function of patients should be reinstated as soon as possible. Many studies have evaluated this topic, and evidence shows that the outcome of surgical procedures for treatment of fractures is influenced by several factors such as patient-related factors, type of bone defect, and type of surgical procedure. Adequate blood supply plays a critical role in bone healing. Impaired angiogenesis at the site leads to poor healing of bone. Oxygen and nutrients are delivered to the site of bone callus formation through the bloodstream. Moreover, the bloodstream delivers progenitor and inflammatory cells to the site of injury. Nitric oxide and vasodilation are also imperative for angiogenesis. Positive effects of nitric oxide on wound healing may be related to its functional effects on angiogenesis and inflammation. Sildenafil prevents the breakdown and degradation of nitric oxide, which leads to vasodilation and increased blood supply to the tissue. Several studies have shown that sildenafil is effective for different pathological conditions via the nitric oxide pathway. In addition, many studies have focused on the effects of sildenafil on tissue healing. Many clinical and animal studies have shown the positive effects of sildenafil in cases of decreased blood supply to the skin and impaired vascularization as in ischemic wounds. Moreover, evidence shows that enhanced bone healing by sildenafil can be due to the function of cysteine-rich angiogenic inducer 61 protein, which stimulates endothelial cell migration and induces proliferation and differentiation of osteoblasts and cell adhesion. Pentoxifylline is a phosphodiesterase inhibitor derived from xanthine, which has a vasodilatory effect. In contrast to most peripheral vasodilators, pentoxifylline has rheological effects on blood and decreases its viscosity. The therapeutic effects of pentoxifylline are mainly attributed to its potential for increasing the blood flow and oxygenation of tissues due to its hemorheological property. It is not clear whether pentoxifylline increases the number of osteoblasts and osteoclasts or not. Takami et al showed that phosphodiesterase inhibitors increase the number of osteoclasts and their differentiation to osteoblasts. Horiuchi et al demonstrated that pentoxifylline enhances new bone formation by upregulating the bone morphogenetic protein-2. Tsutsumimoto et al indicated that pentoxifylline can be used to enhance bone formation.

Many studies have evaluated several medications and factors to enhance bone healing and demonstrated that bone morphogenetic proteins,
insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1), transforming growth factor B1 (TGFβ1), and vitamin E can all enhance the process of bone healing. Several studies have evaluated the effects of phosphodiesterase inhibitors on bone healing with results comparable to ours. However, no previous study evaluated the effects of sildenafil and pentoxifylline on maxillofacial fractures. The current results revealed that pentoxifylline and sildenafil have positive effects on bone healing. Our findings regarding enhanced bone healing by sildenafil were in agreement with those of Yaman et al, Histing et al, and Çakir-Özkan et al. (19) with the difference that they used 5 mg/kg dosage of sildenafil; thus, bone healing in the pentoxifylline group was significantly higher than that in the sildenafil group after two weeks but the score of bone healing in the sildenafil group was higher than that in the pentoxifylline group after six weeks. It seems that the rheological effects of pentoxifylline and reduction of blood viscosity by this medication in the first two weeks enhanced the primary phase of healing and formation of a hematoma at the site of fracture. However, the authors believe that at the end of the sixth week, the anti-inflammatory effects of pentoxifylline, similar to those of other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), delay the process of bone healing. For this reason, at the end of the sixth week, the bone-healing rate in the sildenafil group was higher than that in the pentoxifylline group.

Infection also plays a role in bone healing. Aydin et al (34) showed lower bone healing rate (7.1 versus 9.2) at similar time points and with the use of the same dosage of pentoxifylline, which may be due to no use of antibiotics postoperatively in their study. As they reported, 40% of the samples in the pentoxifylline group had an infection, which seemed to slow down the process of bone healing. (34) Gong et al indicated that the use of tadalafil and vardenafil phosphodiesterase inhibitors decreased the bone mass. (58) Their study was the only one that reported results contrary to ours. This difference may be attributed to different study designs and different types of selective phosphodiesterase inhibitors used.

Despite the abovementioned studies, our knowledge about the effects of phosphodiesterase inhibitors on bone metabolism and healing is insufficient. A good understanding of the biological events that occur in the process of bone healing is imperative to find the most efficient approach to enhance bone healing. This study was the first to show the positive effects of sildenafil and pentoxifylline on healing of mandibular bone fractures. Thus, sildenafil and pentoxifylline can be used as adjuncts to enhance bone healing.

One limitation of this study was the daily use of 50 mg/kg dosage of pentoxifylline and 10 mg/kg dosage of sildenafil; thus, bone healing in response to higher and lower doses remains a matter of question. Also, due to ethical considerations, we could not employ a larger sample size. Finally yet importantly, it is not known to
what extent the slight histological differences are clinically important. Clinical studies using different doses of sildenafil and pentoxifylline are required to assess bone healing at different time points. Also, similar studies are recommended on rabbits or dogs since they have higher histological and anatomical similarities with humans, and the surgical procedure of their mandible would be easier to perform. Moreover, radiographic and biomechanical assessments should be carried out in addition to histological analysis, and expression of genes involved in bone healing should be evaluated. Finally yet importantly, similar studies are required on osteoporotic mandibles, those under bisphosphonate therapy, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy to assess the efficacy of these medications to enhance bone healing in pathological conditions.

Conclusion:
The results of this study showed that sildenafil and pentoxifylline can be used as adjuncts to enhance bone healing in mandibular fractures.
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