ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Journal of Research in Dental and Maxillofacial Sciences DOI: 10.52547/jrdms.8.1.43

Effect of Emotional Self-Regulation Strategies and Systematic Desensitization on Stress Level of Adult **Dental Patients: A Clinical Trial**

Masumeh Parivar ¹, Akbar Rezaei ² 🗊 ^d , Jalil Babapour Kheiroddin ³

¹ Department of Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Tabriz branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran ² Department of Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Payame Noor University (PNU), Tehran, Iran ³ Department of Psychology, Faculty of

Psychology, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran

Corresponding author:

Akbar Rezaei, Department of Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Payame Noor University (PNU), Tehran, Iran

Akbar528@yahoo.com

Article History

Received: 13 February 2022 Accepted: 2 May 2022

Abstract

Background and Aim: This study aimed to compare the effects of emotional self-regulation strategies and systematic desensitization on stress level of adult dental patients.

Materials and Methods: The study population included 40 adult dental patients that were selected by purposeful sampling and were classified into two experimental groups of emotional self-regulation strategy (n=20) and systematic desensitization (n=20). Data were collected using a stress questionnaire. The experimental groups received 8 sessions of 90-minute emotional self-regulation strategy and desensitization instructions. Data were analyzed by t-test and paired t-test.

Results: Emotional self-regulation and systematic desensitization affected the stress level of adult dental patients. However, there was significant difference between the effects of emotional no self-regulation and systematic desensitization on stress levels of adult dental patients (P>0.05).

Conclusion: Emotional self-regulation and systematic desensitization instructions equally affected the stress level of adult dental patients in this study.

Words: Stress; Psychological; Emotional Regulation; Kev Desensitization; Psychologic; Dentistry

Cite this article as: Parivar M, Rezaei A, Babapour Kheiroddin J. Effect of Emotional Self-Regulation Strategies and Systematic Desensitization on Stress Level of Adult Dental Patients: A Clinical Trial

J Res Dent Maxillofac Sci. 2022; 8(1):43-48.

Introduction

Management of dental stress and anxiety of patients is a challenge for most dentists [1]. Stress is a multi-dimensional emotion related to psychological, social and situational factors [2,3]. There are different techniques to control dental stress including sedative and hypnotic drugs, behavioral-cognitive methods, or their combination. Behavioral-cognitive methods are a relatively novel approach in psychology emphasizing on behavioral change through cognitive processes. One such method that plays a significant role in stress reduction is to be able to control emotions. The ability to control emotions requires acquiring skills to identify, organize, and express emotions. Such methods applied are during emotion production process. Emotion production model was introduced by Gross and Manoz [4], and has five stages that each individual can use them differently.

Some of the regulatory strategies have positive effects on emotional experiences while some others have negative effects. Controlling

Convright © 2022 Faculty of Dentistry, Tehran Medical Sciences, Islamic Azad University

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license

(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Noncommercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.



emotions and using emotional self-regulating strategies can lead to stress reduction in dentistry and create a positive attitude towards dentistry.

novel method Another relatively in psychology is systematic desensitization that emphasizes on behavioral change through cognitive processes. The objective of such methods is to change feelings and behavior of individuals through impact on thinking and behavioral patterns and stress reduction. In these methods, based on the counterconditioning principle, some responses are firstly identified that are against fear. Then, the participants are asked to express the contrary responses to stress in stressful situations. Systematic desensitization is the most well-known technique introduced by Wolpe [5]. It includes three processes: Deep relaxation instruction, hierarchical regulation of anxiety and stress, and rest modes and muscular relaxation with stressful stimulus. In this technique, a physiological status is created to avoid anxiety and stress in patients. Then, such status is accompanied with a weak stressful stimulus and such accompaniment is repeated until the stimulus loses its stressful trait. Resultantly, stronger stimuli are regularly accompanied by physiological states opposed with stress [6].

Behavioral-cognitive methods may be able to reduce dental fear and stress level of individuals. Many patients avoid seeking dental care due to high level of dental fear and stress. Evidence shows that 45% of people worldwide have dental fear, which can compromise their oral health [7-9]. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the effect of emotional self-regulation strategies and systematic desensitization on stress level of adult dental patients.

Materials and Methods

This clinical trial was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Dentistry of Islamic Azad University (IR.IAU.TABRIZ.REC.1401.044). Also, it was registered in the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (IRCT20220717055481N1). The study population consisted of adults seeking dental care who were referred to a dental office in 2019; 40 patients with stress signs were selected by purposeful sampling [10]. For this purpose, the patients were asked to fill out a stress questionnaire, and 40 patients who acquired a score higher than the mean total score, and met the eligibility criteria were enrolled. The inclusion criteria were age over 20 years and having dental problems that required dental interventions. The exclusion criteria were unwillingness to participate or remain in the study, failure to answer or incomplete answers to pre-test and post-test questions, and having psychological and neurological disorders such as Alzheimer's disease, dementia, or depression.

The patients were then classified into two groups (n=20) of emotional self-regulation and systematic desensitization group. To quantify the dental stress of participants, the Children's Fear Survey Schedule - Dental Subscale and adults (CFSS-DS) by Cuthbert and Melamed [11] was used, which is a standard questionnaire. It measures stress in all dental situations through 15 items. It was scored based on a 5point Likert scale ranging from very low to very much (1 to 5), and the total score of each patient ranged from 15 to 75. Scores equal or more than 38 showed dental stress. Reliability and validity of this scale were confirmed by Zimmerman^[12] to be 0.85 and 0.90, respectively, by examining 30 participants twice with a 4-week interval. Safari et al. [10] calculated the reliability and validity of the Persian version of this scale to be 0.70 and 0.80, respectively, in an Iranian population. Its reliability was calculated to be 0.83 using the Cronbach's alpha, and its content validity was ensured through confirmation by psychological and educational experts.

Procedure:

In the first step, 80 dental patients were randomly selected and after obtaining their written informed consent, the scales of fear and anxiety were distributed among them. In the next step, individuals were classified into two groups as explained earlier. An informatory session was held for groups 1 and 2. For each method of desensitization and emotional self-regulation, the sessions were held in groups such that the participants could practice in a social setting (Tables 1 and 2). In addition, they could receive a feedback from was used to analyses before and after of each group.

Results

In this study, 40 patients were evaluated with a

Table 1. Program sessions for emotional sen-regulation group					
Phase	Objective	Emotional Regulation Techniques			
Situation Selection (1)	Increasing awareness through emotional education	 a. Understanding the emotion and motivating situations b. self-evaluation to understand his/her emotion experiences c. self-evaluation to understand his/her emotion vulnerability d. self-evaluation to understand his/her emotion regulating strategies a. Avoiding of social isolation b. Instructing the problem-solving c. Instructing interpersonal skills (Dialogue, expression and conflict resolution) a. Stopping rumination b. Instructing distraction 			
Situation Modification (2)	Changing the emotion motivating situations				
Expanding Attention (3)	Changing attention				
Cognitive Changes (4)	Changing the cognitive evaluations	a. Identifying incorrect evaluations and their effect on emotional statusb. Instructing re-evaluation strategy			
Response Adjustment (5)	Changing the behavioral and physiological outcomes of emotions	 a. Identifying level and how to use of inhibition strategy and considering its emotional outcomes b. Facing with emotion motive c. Instructing emotion expression d. Modifying the behavior through changing the setting enhancers e. Emotional discharge instructing, relaxation, reverse action 			

 Table 1. Program sessions for emotional self-regulation group

mean age of 34.5 ± 4.2 years old. The pretest score was 52.10 ± 9.69 for two groups, which

Table 2. Program sessions for the desensitization group

Session	Phase	Subject				
1	1	Initial communication. Describing, introducing the group members to each other, explaining therapy				
		and its phases for visitors				
	2	Providing suitable and well setting for relaxation				
2	3	Instructing muscles relaxation, muscles instructing in 14 groups of muscles				
	1-3	Hand and wrist, front arms, head, mouth, lip, noise, neck, abdominal, hip, thigh, foot wrist and fingers				
3	2-3	Muscles instructing in six groups of muscles				
		(dominant arm of the hand, non-dominant arm of hand, faces, neck, abdominal and foot)				
4	3-3	Muscles Instruction in three groups of muscles (each arm of hand, body center and both of feet)				
	3-4	Remembering and Repeating three groups of muscles				
	4	Providing hierarchy of anxiety from dentistry				
5	1-4	Providing five motives of hierarchy of anxiety from dentistry				
6	2-4	Providing ten motives of hierarchy of anxiety from dentistry				
7	3-4	Providing fifteen motives of hierarchy of anxiety from dentistry				
8	3-5	Providing eighteen motives of hierarchy of anxiety from dentistry and implementing regular				
		desensitization				

the group members as such. Two groups received 8 sessions, each session for 1.5 hours, once a week, for 2 months.

In this study, t-test was used for comparison of two experimental groups and paired t-test

decreased by emotional self-regulation and systematic desensitization instructions to 43.50 ± 7.42 and 43.80 ± 7.61 , respectively (Table 3). Independent t-test showed no significant difference between the efficacy of the two modalities (P>0.05). Comparison of pretest and post-test stress systematic desensitization decreased stress by 52% (P<0.001).

Table 3. Means stress scores of adults in the two groups (n=20)

Group	Pre-Test Mean (SD)	Post-Test Mean (SD)	Corrected Mean
Self-regulation group	52.10 (9.69)	43.500 (7.42)	42.12
Systematic desensitization group	52.10 (9.69)	43.80 (7.61)	44.09

Discussion

The objective of this study was to compare the effect of emotional self-regulation and systematic desensitization on dental stress of dental patients. The results showed that emotional self-regulation significantly decreased dental stress of patients. Evidence shows that learning emotional self-regulation strategies can significantly decrease stress level of patients [13-15]. Gross showed that participants who were encouraged to use emotional self-regulation successfully decreased their emotional behavior. Furthermore, emotional self-regulation could reduce subjective experience of negative emotions without increasing sympathetic arousal [13]. Consequently, it seems that regulating emotions could have desirable outcomes in treatment of emotional problems, and particularly stress and anxiety [16]. The present results confirmed this statement. Furthermore, when individuals repeatedly practice emotional self-regulation strategies, it forms a habit [14]. Stress management skills can affect anxiety and stress symptoms. scores in the emotional self-regulation group showed that a significant reduction occurred in stress score after the intervention and emotional self-regulation decreased stress by 44% (P<0.001).

Comparison of pretest and post-test stress scores in systematic desensitization group showed that the mean stress score significantly decreased after the intervention and Emotional self-regulation instruction could reduce stress and even cholesterol and triglycerides [4]. It could affect physical and mental health as well. Dental stress is a type of physiological stress rather than a psychological stress. Therefore, emotional self-regulation strategies can have positive impact on dental stress and anxiety.

Furthermore, the present results showed that systematic desensitization affected dental stress of adult dental patients. Systematic desensitization is among the easiest methods to control behavioral This method addresses stress. the physiological and cognitive aspects of stress reduction. Simultaneous use of muscle relaxation and visualization of stressful scenes during systematic desensitization could inhibit automatic sympathetic arousals and provide a new response to counterconditioning by setting stressful systematic desensitization, stimuli. In individuals were instructed to relax their muscles by creating tension and relaxation in different groups of muscles consciously.

Then, they are faced with stress from low hierarchy to high levels. Desensitization could be done in а treatment setting and then practiced in actual stressful settings and situations by the generalization method. Even if the relaxation response acts as a mental preoccupation, it could help individuals cope with their stress, and reduce their avoidance behaviors and lack of motivation.

It helps them reduce their dental stress through systematic desensitization. Our results in this regard were consistent with the findings of previous studies [17-23].

In addition, the results showed that both emotional self-regulation strategies and systematic desensitization reduced stress dental patients. level of Emotional self-regulation was slightly more effective than systematic desensitization in the present study but the difference did not reach statistical significance. Patients had awareness in emotional higher selfregulation setting and therefore, it was easy for them to identify stressful situations and thus emotional self-regulation strategy rapidly decreased their stress level.

One limitation of this study was its sampling method, which was purposeful sampling. Therefore, care should be taken in generalizing the results. COVID-19 pandemic was another limitation, which decreased the sample size. Future studies on other therapeutic models are required.

Conclusion

Both emotional self-regulation and systematic desensitization were equally successful in decreasing the stress level of dental patients.

References

1. de Oliveira VJ, da Costa LR, Marcelo VC, Lima AR. Mothers' perceptions of children's refusal to undergo dental treatment: an exploratory qualitative study. Eur J Oral Sci. 2006 Dec;114(6):471-7.

2. ten Berge M, Veerkamp JS, Hoogstraten J, Prins PJ. Childhood dental fear in the Netherlands: prevalence and normative data. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2002 Apr; 30(2):101-7.

3. Cohen ME. Dental anxiety and DMFS status: association within a US naval population versus differences between groups. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 1985 Apr; 13(2): 75-8.

Gross JJ, Manoz, RF. Emotion regulation and mental health.
 Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice.1995;2(2):151-64.
 Wolpe J. Psychotherapy by reciprocal inhibition: Stanford Uni. Press, Calif. 1958: pp 239.

6. Shafi Abadi A, Naseri, Gh. Counselling theories and psychotherapy. Tehran: University Publication center. Fourteenth Edition. 2011.

7. Eesazadegan A, Jenaabadi H, Saadatmand S. The Relationship between cognitive emotion regulation

strategies, emotional creativity and academic performance with mental health in university students. Journal of Educational Psychology Studies. 2010; 7(12): 71-92.

8. Morowatisharifabad MA, Razavinia M, Haerian-Ardakani A, Fallahzadeh H. Study of Dental Anxiety among Patients Referred to Private Offices in Mashhad. Tolou E Behdasht. 2012; 2(35):119-30.

9. Maleki Z, Ashayeri H, Jafari SZ, Alavi K, Azimi S. Study of the effect of music on reduced anxiety in endodontics

treatments. Journal of Dental School, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences. 2011; 28(3):165-71.

10. Safari S, Gholami M, Razeghi S. Development of a persian version of the children's fear survey schedule-dental subscale (cfss-ds) among 8-12 year-old female students in Tehran. Journal of Dental Medicine. 2018 Sep 10;31(2):98-108.

11. Cuthbert MI, Melamed BG. A screening device: children at risk for dental fears and management problems. ASDC J Dent Child. 1982 Nov-Dec;49(6):432-6.

12. Zimmerman BJ. A Social Cognitive View of Self-Regulated Academic Learning. Journal of Educational Psychology.1989; 81(3):329-39.

13. Gross JJ. The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. Review of General Psychology. 1998 Sep;2(3):271-99.

14. Gross JJ, editor. Handbook of emotion regulation. Guilford publications; 2013 Dec 17.

15. Settineri S, Mallamace D, Muscatello MR, Zoccali R, Mento C. Dental anxiety, psychiatry and dental treatment: How are they linked?. Open Journal of Psychiatry. 2013; 3(1):168-72.

16. Campbell-Sills L, Barlow DH. Incorporating emotion regulation into conceptualizations and treatments of anxiety and mood disorders. In: Gross JJ, editor. Handbook of Emotion Regulation. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 2007. pp. 542–59.

17. Kaazemian Moghadam K, Mehrabizadeh Honarmand M, Soodani M. The impact of systematic desensitization on test anxiety and school performance among female Behbahaani junior high school students. Journal of New Educational Thoughts. 2016; 4 (3):55-78.

18. Harris MM. Meta-analysis of test anxiety among college students [Doctoral dissertation]. USA, Ohio; Ohio State University;1988.85 p.

19. Snyder AL, Deffenbacher JL. Comparison of relaxation as

21. Hembree R. Correlates, causes, effects, and treatment of test anxiety. Review of Educational Research. 1988 Mar; 58 (1):47-77.

22. Abolghasemi A. Study of epidemiology of exam anxiety and effectiveness of two therapies methods on exam anxiety reduction of secondary students of Ahvaz city [Psychological Ph.D. thesis]. Iran: Shahid Chamran University; 2002.

23. Fathi Ashtyani A, Imamgholivand F. Comparison of cognitive therapy and de-therapy methods in reducing exam stress. Medical Journal of Kousar. 2002;7(3):245-51.

self-control and systematic desensitization in the treatment of test anxiety. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1977 Dec;45(6): 1202-3.

20. Hudesman J, Loveday C, Woods N. Desensitization of test anxious urban community-college students and resulting changes in grade point average: a replication. J Clin Psychol. 1984 Jan;40(1):65-7.